

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,727	06/25/2001	Bernhard H. Weigl	MICRO1180	1517
500	7590 12/27/2005		EXAMINER	
	ELLECTUAL PROPE	ALEXANDER, LYLE		
701 FIFTH A SUITE 6300	- · 		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			1743	

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/888,727	WEIGL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lyle A. Alexander	1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 C	October 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.33 and 34 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 33-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	A.F.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				
Patent and Trademark Office					



Application/Control Number: 09/888,727

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 claims a second fluid, but fails to claim a first fluid. Clarification could be achieved by claiming a first fluid and a second fluid.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Vigh or Weigl et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 7/28/05 Office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/12/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' remarks concerning the 35 USC 112 first paragraph issues were convincing and these rejections have been vacated.

Applicants' state Vigh does not teach the sample stream and the sheath fluid having the same flow rate. Applicants' point out where Vigh teaches a differential resistance between the two fluids and concludes they must have different relative flow rates. The Office take the position that differences in resistance between the two fluids is just that – differences in resistance between the two fluids. These differences are not



Application/Control Number: 09/888,727

Art Unit: 1743

unequivocally related to the relative flow rates. Rather, column 8 lines 55+ teach only the amount of sheath fluid to match the amount of sample is required. The Office has read this teaching as a constant, equal amount of sheath fluid supplied to a constant, equal amount of sample.

Applicants' state Weigl does not teach the claimed sheathing of the two fluids. The Office does not agree and as pointed out by Applicants' Weigl teaches sheathing of the two fluids in column 44. Applicants' state even if Weigl does teach sheathing, the claimed equal flow rates of the first and second fluid are not taught. The Office does not agree because Weigl teaches in column 7 the two fluid streams may have equal flow rates. Applicants' point to column 44 of Weigl that teaches the two fluids could flow at different speeds. The Office takes the position Weigl teaches the two fluids can be at equal speeds (col. 7) or different speeds (col. 44) and has been properly read on the instant claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/888,727

Art Unit: 1743

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743 Page 4
